DAT

SEP 1 3 2004	• IN THE UNITED STATES PATEN	T AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BADENBER	Application of:	)
	Mike Bowling et al.	)
Serial	No.: 10/630,290	)
Filed:	July 30, 2003	)
For:	Method of Wastewater Treatment Utilizing White Rot and Brown Rot Fungi	) )

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO DECISION REFUSING STATUS UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

Sir:

The Applicant has received the Decision dated August 20, 2004. The initially filed Petition was initially dismissed for being deficient as related to 37 CFR 1.47(a)(1) and (2).

Specifically, the Senior Petitions Attorney observed that the petitioner should provide, with a renewed petition, a copy of the statement in which the non-signing inventor refuses to sign the Declaration. A portion of the July 18, 2003, letter received from the non-signing applicant is provided. Included in that letter is a statement that: "Therefore, I am returning the Declaration unsigned." The remainder of this letter, as the initial petition states, attributes the technology to others, but after a review of the allegations, no substantiation of Mr. Adams' allegations could be corroborated by the Applicant. In fact, Mr. Adams refers to a confidential report that was prepared at and for the applicant as being prior art. It is important to remember that at or about the time of the filing of this application, the applicant's company and the non-

signing inventor were involved adversely in litigation in the Hamilton County, Tennessee, court system.

With regard to Item (2), the applicant appreciates the Senior Petitions Attorney indicating that the Declaration would be acceptable if the signature block of the non-signing inventor is left blank. Enclosed is a substitute Declaration with the non-signing inventor's block left blank.

The Senior Petitions Attorney indicated that a filing fee surcharge of \$65.00 for a proper Declaration not present upon filing, was charged to the Deposit Account.

Accordingly, as instructed by the Senior Petitions Attorney, this is believed to be a complete response to the Dismissal and the proper paperwork is now believed to be in order for granting the Applicant's petition.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen Stark

Reg. No. 43,152

Attorney for Applicant

832 Georgia Ave., Suite 1000

Chattanooga, TN 37402-2289

(423) 785-8229

# **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that the preceding Brief on Appeal is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

On this 4th day of

Paverly I Middleton

OFE JOJOS
SEP 1 3 2004 23
TRADEMARK.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re A	application of:	)
	Mike Bowling et al.	)
Serial	No.: N/A	)
Filed:	Herewith	)
For:	Method of Wastewater Treatment Utilizing White Rot and Brown Rot Fungi	)

#### **SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION**

Declaration Under 35 U.S.C. §118 and C.F.R. 1.47 (b) - Proof of Refusal To Sign Application Papers

- I, Stephen J. Stark, hereby state the following and declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon:
- 1. That I am an attorney in the State of Tennessee and a Patent Attorney registered before the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office. My Registration number is 43,152.
- 2. The Petition filed concurrently with the filing application is incorporated by reference and a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 3. I received a letter dated July 18, 2003, from the non-signing inventor. As a portion of this letter, the non-signing inventor stated: "Therefore, I am returning the Declaration unsigned." This inventor was provided with the application papers, the specification claims, and filing papers (there were no drawings filed with this application). See Exhibit B.
  - 4. The Declaration of Mike Bowling is provided as Exhibit C. Further I state not.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen L. Stark Reg. No.: 43,152

SJS:blm Attachments



#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re A	application of:	)
	Mike Bowling et al.	)
Serial 1	No.: N/A	)
Filed:	Herewith	)
For:	Method of Wastewater Treatment Utilizing White Rot and Brown Rot Fungi	)

#### **PETITION**

Declaration Under 35 U.S.C. §118 and C.F.R. 1.47 (b) - Proof of Refusal To Sign Application Papers

- I, Stephen J. Stark, hereby state the following and declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon:
- 1. That I am an attorney in the State of Tennessee and a Patent Attorney registered before the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office. My Registration number is 43,152.

- 2. That I represent Sorce, Inc. ("Sorce") a corporation having an address at P. O. Box 1493, (6613 Schooner Bay Lane), Hixson, Tennessee 37343, and I am authorized to make this Petition in behalf of Sorce.
- 3. That I prepared a patent application as attorney for Sorce entitled "Method of Wastewater Treatment Utilizing White Rot and Brown Rot Fungi." That investigation of the facts showed that Mike Bowling and Phil Adams were joint inventors in this application.
- 4. On June 30, 2003, I provided Sorce with a copy of the enclosed patent application with the filing papers. All the filing papers including the enclosed Assignment and Powers of Attorney except for the Declaration were signed by both inventors on July 7, 2003. Apparently both inventors overlooked the Declaration which was located at the end of the patent application.
- 5. Upon return of the documents, I realized that the Declaration was unsigned. The application with the Declaration was then returned to Sorce, Inc., for signing by both inventors.
- 6. Prior to receiving a retuned and executed copy of the Declaration from both inventors, Mr. Adams terminated his employment status with Sorce, Inc. with the parties apparently being at least somewhat unamicable toward one another at this time.
- 7. On or about July 16, 2003, I was informed of Mr. Adams' departure from Sorce, Inc. I sent by Federal Express a copy of the application with the Declaration and requested that

Mr. Adams sign the Declaration as it was his duty to do so under the Assignment he had already executed.

- 8. Mr. Adams returned the Declaration and application to me unsigned along with a statement wherein he attributed at least some of the claimed subject matter as being obtained from another party.
- 9. The information proposed by Mr. Adams was investigated by Sorce, Inc. Apart from self-serving statements, no proof of inventorship by the third party was located in any references cited by Mr. Adams which could be considered prior art.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Mr. Adams is competing with Sorce possibly using the claimed subject matter to the detriment of Sorce.
- 11. The Assignment shows that Sorce, Inc. is the proper owner of this application is enclosed.
  - 12. A power of attorney from Mr. Adams is enclosed.
- 13. Sorce, Inc. has informed me that they do not believe the assertions made by Mr. Adams as it relates to derivation from another party. Sorce, Inc. questions the veracity of the statements made by Mr. Adams in light of his initial actions as they relate to signing all the

documents but the <u>one</u> overlooked document on July 7, 2003, and then <u>after</u> terminating his employment with Sorce, Inc. immediately disavowing patentability of the subject matter.

- 14. Consequently, it is submitted that a <u>bona fide</u> attempt has been made to have Mr. Adams execute the patent application papers for the subject application and that this attempt has proven fruitless.
- 15. Therefore, Sorce, Inc., asserts title of the above recited application and request that the filing date and serial number be granted for this application, and I, as having power of attorney from Mr. Adams and representing Sorce, Inc. the assignee of Mr. Adams' rights to this application as its attorney, have executed the Declaration on behalf of Mr. Adams.
- 16. That having shown refusal of Mr. Adams to execute the application, and that title to the application vests in Sorce, Inc., and that irreparable harm will occur if this Petition is not granted, it is hereby requested that the Petition be granted.
  - 17. That the Petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (c) of \$130.00 is enclosed herewith.

Respectfully submitted,	
Stephen J. Stark Reg. No.: 43,152	
1106.11011 10,102	

SJS:blm Attachments

Further I state not.

July 18, 2003

Mr. Stephen J. Stark Miller & Marin LLP Attorneys at Law Suite 1000, Volunteer Bldg. 832 Georgia Ave Chattanooga, TN 37402-2289

Dear Mr. Stark;

I have been worried about this patent application since Mike and I began the process. My mind was putting doubt about "our new technology". However, I put off those doubts in the excitement of the company filing and having my name attached to a patent. It was a blessing in disguise that we forgot to sign the Declaration.

I would like to take credit for developing this technology

We had
test the wastewater at with their products and
process to prove to the technology was credible. I have attached their report that was sent
to me on This report clearly demonstrates the 81.91% color removal from the

Therefore, I am returning the Declaration unsigned.

Sincerely

Phil Adams

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to res

**DECLARATION FOR UTILITY OR DESIGN PATENT APPLICATION** (37 CFR 1.63)

**OR** 

1	Declaration
	Submitted
	with Initial
	Filing

Declaration
Submitted after Initia
Filing (surcharge
(37 CFR 1.16 (e))
required)

spond to a confection of information driess it contains a valid Civil control fighther.				
Attorney Docket Number	10326-0001 33,212			
First Named Inventor	Bowling et al.			
COMPLETE	IF KNOWN			
Application Number				
Filing Date				
Art Unit				
Examiner Name				

	roquirea)	Z.Karrini or Trainio						
As the below named inventor, I her	eby declare that:							
My residence, mailing address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.								
I believe I am the original and first inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:								
METHOD OF WASTEW ROT FUNGI	VATER TREATME	NT UTILIZING WH	IITE ROT AN	ND BROWN				
	(Title of the I	nvention)						
the specification of which	(**************************************							
is attached hereto								
OR  was filed on (MM/DD/YYYY)  as United States Application Number or PCT International								
Application Number	and was amend	ed on (MM/DD/YYYY)		(if applicable).				
I hereby state that I have reviewed and any amendment specifically referred to	d understand the contents of above.	of the above identified speci	fication, including t	the claims, as amended by				
I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56, including for continuation-in-part applications, material information which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.								
I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f), or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent, inventor's or plant breeder's rights certificate(s), or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent, inventor's or plant breeder's rights certificate(s), or any PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.								
Prior Foreign Application Number(s)	Country	Foreign Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Priority Not Claimed	Certified Copy Attached? YES NO				
None								
Additional foreign application nur	mbers are listed on a supple	emental priority data sheet F	PTO/SB/02B attach	ned hereto:				

# **DECLARATION** — Utility or Design Patent Application

Direct all correspondence to: Customer Number or Bar Code Label		034	OR Correspondence address below			spondence address below		
Alan Ruderman				•		•		
Name	Name							
Miller & Martin LLP								
Address Suite 1000 Volunteer Building; 832	! Ged	orgia Avenue						
Chattanooga			Ten	nesse	е			37402-2289
City			State					ZIP
USA	•	23) 756-6600						(423) 785-8480
Country  I hereby declare that all statements made herein of m	Telepi			- shot c	II state			on information and halist
are believed to be true; and further that these statem made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.	nents n. und	were made with	n the kn	owiedae	e that v	willful f:	alse st	latements and the like so
NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR:		A petition h	as bee	n filed	for th	nis un	signe	ed inventor
Given Name Michael (first and middle [if any])			Family or Sur	y Name mame	Bow	/ling		
Inventor's Muhul How	h	<u></u>					ı	7/7/03
Hixson		Tennesse	e USA		1	USA		
Residence: City		State	ate Country			Citizenship		
6613 Schooner Bay Lane								
Malling Address	_			Τ.				
Hixson		Tennessee			1	USA		
City	=-	State	ZIP   Country s been filed for this unsigned inventor					
NAME OF SECOND INVENTOR:		A petition has	s been	filed to	or this	unsi	gned	inventor
Given Name (first and middle [if any])			Family or Sun					
Inventor's Signature						:		Date
		t maybe					i.	stille to
Residence: City	State		Country			Citizenship		
Malling Address								
		. 1.			•			
City	State		ZIP		c	Country		
Additional inventors are being named on the	_supp	olemental Additio	nal Inve	entor(s)	sheet(s	s) PTO/	/SB/02	A attached hereto.